G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

S. M. 16207/02 Sl. 1 B: October 07 1914.
S. M. 7404/15. B: November 04 (S. F.)

Later references.

Sl. 101-16 Sl 1+2
" 85-18 Sl 16 6+9
~~Sl~~ 203-23 Sl 21+28

P 67 E

File No. 172-13

Serial Nos. 1, 2 to 21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 2 ~~to 20~~ 30, 520

Part B for April 1916.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of a Committee for the Examination of the tenders for the Supply of articles purchased by the Government.

Sl. 20, From The Secretary to Govt.
No. Fl. 5588-95 dt. 25th April, 1916

Observes that when tenders in case of purchases exceeding Rs 10000 in value are received in the Secretariat concerned, they should be scrutinised by the Departmental Secretary & the Financial Secretary under the instructions of the member of Government in charge of the subject.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—15-12-15

G.R.S. 11] 1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. F.)

NOTES.

File No. 1729

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of a Committee for the Examination of tenders for the supply of articles purchased by the Govt

From

The Director of Industries & Commerce in Nigeria

No. 3039 Dated 9.4.15. Received 12.4.15

Diary No. 21185	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 29

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

With reference to this office circular letter, dated 6.4.15 giving his views on the question of formation of a Committee for the Examination of tenders ~~as proposed~~ and strongly objecting to the principles on which the above committee is proposed to be formed.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The Dewan has ordered on the Papal abstract relating to the above letter that this paper may be submitted early. The main file is before the Secy

2) Subject for orders. Information has not been received from all Heads of Depts

12/4/15

3) There was another file about appointing an agent in London to purchase articles. That may also be put up ~~in the meantime~~ by the Secy & that of D.I. Colombo may be submitted for perusal by the Secy

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15.6.12

P.D.C.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-F File No: 172 of 1914.

Serial No. 32

From Mr Leslie C. Coleman, Director of Agriculture in Mysore - Letter No 505 of 9.4.15 stating in reply to this office Circular letter of 6.4.15 that he considers the proposal decidedly unwise & that it will certainly lead to delays in the work of his Dept.

London file

1) The file relating to the appointment of an agent in London is put up

2) Submit for Secy's perusal as ordered above

MG

15.4.15

3) The following have since been received:

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-S- File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.	
4	From Lt Col A.A. Jones I.A. Offg Chief Commandant, Mhow letter No 5377 dated 11.4.1915 stating, in reply to this office circular letter dated 6th April 1915, that the proposals made regarding Examn of tenders are of no use, for reasons stated, and recommends that the present system may be kept in vogue in all cases.
5	From the Expert Committee in Burma letter No 7514 dated 9.4.1915 stating, with reference to this office letter dt 6.4.15, that the idea appears to them a sound one & may be tried for some time.
6	From the Director of Printing letter No 664 dated 10th April 1915, stating, in reply, that he agrees to the proposal 50-10-1
7	From the Supdt, Govt Press, letter No 145-18 dt 13th April 1915, stating, in reply, that he has no objection to the proposal.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

Submit for perusal

23 APR. 1915

P.K.A.

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1593

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.S. File No. 172 of 1913.-14

4333

Serial No. 8

From the Commr of Stamps in reply - letter No 6258-219-4-15, stating in reply to this office circular letter ~~dated~~ No 5376-92/S.S.-172-13 dated 6.4.15, that re-calling for news regarding the formation of a Tenders Committee, that the idea is a good one & may be tried

his

9) The previous letters have already been submitted for information. after which all such communs be collected & sent together

Where are they?

sent 10.5.15 by DM 22/4

9 From the D.S of Edn - letter No 17122 dated 14-4-15, stating, in reply, 768 that he sees no objection to the Committee proposed and adding that if the majority of the Committee & the Hd of the Dept concerned do not agree, the latter should have the right of appealing to Govt, & this must, he thinks be placed under embarassment hereafter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

I. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C-f- File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.

10 From the Chief Electrical Engineer
- Letter no 139 d 17-4-15. Stating
that he does not think, for reasons
~~stated~~ given by him, that such a
Committee would work to the
best and advantage of Govt, but
suggests that in case of purchase
of supplies in Excess of Rs 10,000
all tenders & correspondence etc per-
taining thereto may be sent to
the Committee for examination.

11 From the Secy Comm in Mysore
- letter no 1376 d 28-4-15
stating that the proposed rules
regarding tender Committee ~~are~~ seem all right

(1) Subs for information
~~Six~~ Replies are due from six
more Officers.

19/5/15 (2) Seen - are there any
more replies due? If so
remind such Offrs & work up
the case by preparing a Tabular
Statement showing the Opinion of all

8/5

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

. R. S. 12]

7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-S File No. 172 of 1917.

Serial No.	
12	From the Secretary for Railways, Simla - Letter No 3179 S. dated 7th May 1915 - stating that it is not in favour of the measure contemplated, which he thinks, will be a source of great friction & will cause delay in settling matters.
	13) Replies are now forwarded :-
	1) The Secretary, Central Provinces
Amb 2nd	2) The Secretary Ec: Conference
	3) D.S of Police
	4) Revd Senior Surgeon & Sanl Commr
	5) Supdt Postmaster Sanders
	6) Chief Engineer.
	14) Reminders to the above cases drafted
	15) Issued by P.B/S 11/5/15
	Issued. Nos A 6345-98-2075
	S.F.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C. S. File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.	
13	From the Secretary to Govt. of India Dept. letter No 2601 dated 14/5/15 stating, in reply, that the term Dept does not generally comprise under the operation of the rules proposed, as it is not likely that articles of value of over Rs. 10,000 will be purchased by the Dept & requesting that the rules are suitable except that the letter of the 11th is not clear
	14) Submit for information the tabular statement called for above which can best be submitted as soon as the replies are got from the remaining Depts to whom reminders have been issued.
	15) Do not wait for unimportant Depts & Receipts if any 24/5
	15/5/15 22/5
14	From Senior Surgeon - letter No G 497 dated 4-5-15, stating, in reply, that he has no objection to the proposal & that the rules are suitable
	P. T. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. P. File No. 172 of 191 3.

Serial No.

(5) The replies are still awaited from the following officers:-

1) The Secretary, P. & T. Depts
2) The Inspector General of Police
3) The Superintendent, Botanical Gardens
4) The Chief Engineer

(6) May a reminder be issued in the above?

(7) A tabular statement of the opinions expressed by the Heads of Depts who have already replied is prepared & submitted

(8) From the replies received it is seen that the Heads of technical Departments where large quantities of Stores are generally purchased are against the proposals while those of the non technical Depts are generally in favour. In the former category come the Director of Industries, the Director of Agriculture, the Inspector General of Civil Supplies, the Chief Electrical Engineer & the Railway Secretary. In the latter category are included the Revenue Commissioner, the Excise Commissioner, the Superintendent of Printing, the Director of Economic Conference, & the Director of Education.

(9) The chief objections raised by the former set of officers are that non-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Technical men are not in a position to advise technical departments, that the procedure is likely to cause friction between the Head of the Department & the Committee & will also involve delay in effecting purchases which will mean higher prices. The Director of Industries suggests that it would be useful, on the other hand, to have a technical man to advise non technical Depts to in their dealings.

2) To obviate the delay, the Chief Electrical Engineer proposes the file of papers being sent for the scrutiny of the Committee after the purchase is effected & not before. They may thus sit in judgement but will not cause delay in purchasing. This is worth considering.

3) The Secl to Govt Conference enquires if by the expression 'the decision of the Committee is final' in rule 1 Govt sanction is proposed to be dispensed with. This is not apparently the case; sanction of Govt is for the Estt in general while Committee is to consider the particular manner of purchase - two distinct things. If necessary, rules may be made more clear on the point. What are

4) The Dy. Chief Engr of E & C Works would give the Head of the department an opportunity to appeal to Govt, if he differs from the majority - This may be agreed to & rule 1 suitably amended.

5) Reminders may issue to the Officers who have not sent replies & the file resubmitted in any case in a fortnight.

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.S. File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.	
15	From the Supdt, Govt Farm, Hebbal No 186 dated 21.5.1913 stating in reply, that he has no proposal to append a comparative for the examn of tenders for large supplies by Govt, is good & can have no objection to it.
16	From the Chief Engineer, Mysore - Letter No 5850 dated 12th June 1913, stating in reply, that in para 19 G.O. dated 23rd Decr 1913 laying down rules for making purchases on behalf of Govt, it is laid down that in the case of Special Depts such as the P.W. Dept, the special rules of the Dept should be followed and that, therefore, the proposal to append a comparative statement to tenders does not apply to P.W.D which is governed by the P.W.D Code only.
	The remaining papers of Kanpur & Varanasi Brass

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

5250

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-f File No. 17 of 191 5

Serial No.

Vide The above 2 Commns were duly received. The remarks given in these 2 cases has are incorporated in the statement.

27) Replies are duly done from the Secretariat, & the Depts of the Govt of India.

28) Draft reminders submitted

25/6/15

29) Done 29/6

Dinesh. 1st Letter - 2nd - 4th

no reply has yet been received from the above two offices

File resubmitted as per orders in para 25 of note

Vide last Note

25/7/15

There is no need to wait - I think we have received a sufficient number of replies - Work up further, giving specific replies to the queries of the 1st number in the linked file -

13.7.15

Vide linked file for Continuation

14/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G-F File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.	
17	From Secy Govt, Genl Deptt: - Letter No 14628 2-8-15 CS - stating, in reply, that the subjoined appears suitable & may be adopted.
18	From Dy Comr Police - Letter No 510 dated 10/8/1915 - stating that he quite approves of the suggestion of appointing a Committee for Examn of tenders &c

Vide Unlisted file

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G P)

NOTES.

File No. 172-1

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of a Committee for the Consideration of tenders in regard the Supply of Stores

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

B.J.O

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Q.F. File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

Extract of note in G.7-168-13.

x x x x x x

4) Another point that has to be solved in this connection is the appointment of a Committee for the examination of Tenders. The department whose tenders are examined will Contribute its Head or one member and 2 more may perhaps be nominated for the year 1914-15

Intd. J.L.N.R.
6.5.14.

x x x x

2) A/S. Sir will please start two separate files on the two points in his note above.

Intd. J.S.C.
7/5

3) Issue the draft and then open two files as directed by the H Secretary and resubmit soon.

Intd. J.L.N.R
7.5.14.

4) Sub with a draft for order.

4.21
20/5/14

5) [No draft necessary/struck] I would suggest that the Head of the Department & 2 more Officers will be enough. If permitted I would suggest that for the first year the Comptroller and Secretary may be

1396

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.P.B.—60,000—7-11-13

Serial No.

Members of the Committee. Next year the membership may be filled up by Officers of lower rank.

Secy)

(2) The constitution of the Committee is a matter for Govt to decide. I consider the proposal made in A/S F's note above suitable.

2) The majority's opinion will be the opinion of the Committee & the Committee's decision shall be final.

3) All tenders involving an amount exceeding 10,000 must be referred to the Committee & the No & Date of Committee Resln thereon be quoted on the bill.

4) In case the Committee cannot arrive at a definite resolution the matter shd be submitted to Govt for orders.

5) The Committee's office will be in the Fin. Branch of G.R. Secty & A/S Fin shd be Ex-officio Secy.

22/5

975 Bt
23.5.14

Secy)

(7) This may be brought up at a meeting of Council.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

13.2.15

Secy) C.S. ... 1912 15/2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.S. File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.

8) It would be useful to note
(1) what tenders & purchases are likely to come within the scope of this order;
(2) What checks & sanction are required at present?
(3) What is the opinion of the Officers ~~from~~ or departments now dealing with each matter as to any objections or merits of the system proposed.

2/3/15

~~Forwarded to~~ 9) Forwarded to the Fin Dept for favour of furnishing the information required in the above Note as far as practicable.

Dmcg 8/3/15

10/3/15
8/3/15

10) ~~Submitted~~
Under rule 15 of the Stores' Purchase order the Committee is to be appointed only for sanctioning Debits and in this file it is proposed that it should deal only with purchases of more than 10,000 Rs in value. It is for orders

Finis 1456 1464

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.P.B.—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G-S—File No. 172 of 1914-14

Serial No.

What departments should be ~~brought~~ brought under the operation of this rule.

Desai)

Kg.
20/3

1396

H) As question (3) cannot be answered without a reference to all departments concerned a circular may be issued to all likely departments asking for their ~~information~~ opinion.

I 2) For (1) + (2), the budget grants under supply & services in the various depts may be gone through and a list prepared of all departments for which provision in excess of Rs 10,000/- exist, with information as to the nature of supplies & the sanction required for making large purchases in each dept.

M
28/3

[After issue of draft file to be

I 3) returned to S/C Secy for attending to Dewan's order of 13.2.15 M
28/3]

24/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

1456

6

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-f File No. 172 of 1913.-14.

Serial No.

(14) As directed in para 11 above, a draft-circular to all likely departments who deal with the purchase of large stores for their departments, is submd.

15) A list of all departments by their name (referred to in para 12 of note) is also put up

Flag R

Flag R 26/3/15 (16) List revised & submd as personally directed

26/3/15 Draft-circular is also submd

2/4/15

17) a statement as required of all likely purchases of over 10,000 is put up. It will be seen that there are very few such claims in the Civil Depts. It is not clear if this Committee is to consider Rly & P.W. purchases also. If these Departments do not come under the para are to be excluded, the names of these Offices their Heads will have to be omitted from the draft circular pending

5) Yes, all depts must be included

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1503. Please file after this draft is approved with relevant papers

GTB—25,000—2-11-14

Sd. 3/4

M 4/4

Dfno. 1456

(G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.F. File No. 172 of 1913-14

Serial No.	
	P. Kaul's issue
	6) Some draft be and submit file W[illegible] seen as per para 13. above. ks 5/4
1	To the Heads of various Depts. - Circular no. F.C. 5-S-76-92 dated 6th April 915, calling for their opinions in the matter of appointing a committee for the Exam[n] of tenders for the supply of articles of value of over Rs.10,000 required by Govt offices. [illegible] to time
	20) File as submitted to the General Secretary as ordered in para 13 above. ks 8.4.15
	ks (Mr. Grant) 7/4/15
	CP done 9/4
	21) The file may be kindly resubmitted to the First Member, with full information on all the points raised by the Member of Council.
	P.K.R. 17/4
	II) Done 16/4 ks

P T O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB--25,000--2-11-14

7 Jun 1486

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-f- File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.

22) May the replies from all the Heads of Depts ~~and~~ mentioned in the Circular letter dated 6th April 1915 be awaited?

23) 17/4/15

24) With reference to the query of the 1st Member on page 4 of the note, the following is submitted.

1) Statement A shows, in general, the Depts whose yearly purchases are likely to be of the value of Rs 10,000 and more and which are likely to come within the scope of the proposed System.

2) The checks & sanctions required at present for such purchases are laid down in G.O. No. 276-809 S.F. 214-10-88 dated 23rd Dec 1913.

P.T.O.

3) Statement B, showing the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

Serial No.

Before the passing of this order, there were some subordinate rules & practices peculiar to certain Depts such as Medical, P.W.D Press &c, which were in the matter of making purchases of Stores on behalf of Govt. These rules & practices are still in force in the respective departments and are not affected by the G.O. of Dec^r 13.

Flag M in Secret file 55-1/14 printing (Unbound)

(15) A set of draft rules mostly based on existing practice, for the purchase of Medical Stores is recently received in Br^h IV for the approval of the Govt. An Extract of the same is put up herewith for reference. Paras 6, (a), (b) & (c) of these rules are not pertinent to the question as on hand.

Flag M.

(16) The purchase of Stores in the P.W.D is governed by the rules contained in App. 30 of the P.W. Code a copy of which is herewith submitted for reference. These rules chiefly relate to the powers of the P.W.D officers in making

Flag K

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cf File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.

purchases of foreign Stores and the Conditions & procedure applying to such purchases.

2) The Govt Press does not possess any definite set of rules governing its purchase of Stores. The few rules that are in force there are scattered in Govt orders passed from time to time.

A few of them which are more available are cited for reference. These authorize the Supdt, Govt Press to make purchase of prescribed articles required for the Press (up to a limit of Rs 50/- in each case), and to this extent the powers of the Govt. and also authorize him to call for tenders for supply of Stationery articles & open them & submit to them to Govt for sanction

Flag L

3) Statement-B gives, in brief the opinions of the different Heads.

Flag M

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

Serial No.

of Depts in regards to the merits or demerits of the proposed system, from the Statement, it will be seen that the opinions of Heads of all technical Depts are against the system proposed while those of the non-technical Depts are generally agreeable with. The chief objections raised by some of the Depts are that in matters of large purchases by them, it is said non-technical men are not competent with judgment as technical men and such a procedure would be productive of friction between the Depts and is likely to cause delay which means higher prices. The Chief Engineer goes so far as to say that the D.W.D does not come within the scope of this system at all, as M.S. O. No. 23/12/13 regarding purchase of stores on behalf of Govt does not apply to the D.W.D which is governed by D.W.D Code only in regard to such matters

Approved by Govt vide Hy S

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

Serial No.

Submd

Note revised submd

Check & Sanctions now existing as noted against the several items in the Statement A, so far as they are available for M.T. Rl. These are to be taken in addition to those in practice prevailing in Sanc. Depts (only PWD) as noted in Col. above

Statements are put up furnishing the information required in para 8 above:

26/11/15

737

Statement A does not answer Hon'ble Members query. A short abstract containing only the information required by him & not irrelevant details may be prepared. Statement B is all right.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G-f—File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.

V/ The Statement A is revised as per instruction given & Sent and passed to-day Statement B. Refer = para 8 of note

(Orders, please)

10/12/15

851

23/12

(1st December)

Submitted with reference to paras 8 & 21

19. 3.1.16

Will not the same object be served by ruling that all tenders for sums above 10000 Rs shd receive sanction of Govt & that the Financial Secretary should be consulted in all such cases? The two Secretaries & the head of Dept will thus have dealt with the matter. I am afraid that there is some reason to expect more delay in disposal.

If the Committee is appointed, the matter shd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

Ym 582 715

14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C-F File No. 172 of 1913

Serial No.

So before Govt if there is a difference of opinion.

15/1/16

D1) Subd with reference to paras 21 Taberc — 16. 17.1.16.

G(Sec7)

C.S ow 18/1

Seen

ow 21/1

20/1

D3 Arrow 22.1.16. ow 24/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

15

Extract from the Proceedings of the 374th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore, on the 2nd February 1916.

---

7. SUBJECT. - (Outside the Schedule).

Appointment of a Committee for the consideration of tenders in regard to the purchase of stores on behalf of Government.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

No formal Committee is necessary. But arrangements may be made to expedite references in regard to tenders and tenders of the value of Rs.10,000 and more may be subjected to the scrutiny of the departmental Secretary and the Financial Secretary under the instructions of the Member of Government concerned.

Sd. M.VISVESVARAYA,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

D. M. Narasimhaiyar

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

W. D. 1364—GPB—500—14-12-1

G. R. S. 12]

16

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 . .

Serial No.

36 Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

Forwarded with compliments to the Financial Secretary to Government.....

for favour of necessary further action.

By Order,

D. M. Narasimha Rao 3/2

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

37 Draft submission notes put up

3/2

4408
21.2.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

W. D. 135—GPB—200—29-7-15

Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to the question of constituting a committee for the consideration of tenders in respect of articles required for the several Departments of Governmen contemplated in para 15 of Government Order No.Fl.2760-809 G.F.214-10-8 dated 23rd December 1913.

The Financial Secretary suggested that:-

(1) the Comptroller and the General Secretary to Government may be appointed members of the Committee along with the Head of the Department concerned;

(2) the majority's opinion will be the opinion of the committee and that it shall be final;

(3) all tenders involving an amount exceeding Rs.10,000/- must be referred to the committee;

(4) in case the committee cannot arrive at a defini resolution, the matter should be submitted to t Dewan for orders.

(5) the committee's office will be in the Financial Branch, General and Revenue Secretariat and th the Assistant Secretary Finance, be Ex-officio Secretary.

The First Member considers that the constitution of a committee will delay disposal of references and has suggested a ruling to the effect that all tenders for arti cles of value of and above the sum of Rs.10,000/- should receive the sanction of Government and that the Financial Secretary should be consulted in all such cases.

The question was discussed in the Council, who are of opinion that no formal committee is necessary but that

that arrangements might be made to expedite references in regard to tenders and that tenders of the value of Rs.10,000/- and more might be subjected to the scrutiny of the Departmental Secretary and the Financial Secretary under the instructions of the Member of Government concerned.

This may be graciously approved.

26/2/46

H. Manjunadayya

49

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Appointment of a Committee for the consideration of tenders in regard to the purchase of stores on behalf of Government.

809

Order.

43

~~KRW~~

6/3/16

1369

Secy to H.H. 7.3.16.

MS fin
45 Put up a copy early
8/3/16

STATEMENT OF CASES SUBMITTED FOR THE ORDERS OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA DURING THE TOUR OUTSIDE THE STATE IN.....February.....1916.

Serial No.	Subject	Recommendation of Council, or Member of Government in charge, as the case may be	Dewan's recommendation	Orders of His Highness	Orders regarding submission of files (*vide* foot-note)
140	Appointment of a Committee for the consideration of tenders in regard to the purchase of stores on behalf of Government.	Opinion of Council. No formal committee is necessary. But arrangements may be made to expedite references in regard to tenders and tenders of the value of Rs.10,000/- and more may be subjected to the scrutiny of the departmental Secretary and the Financial Secretary under the instructions of the Member of Government concerned.	The resolution of the Council may be approved.	H Macfundayde 26/2/16	C 29 6/3/16

NOTE.—The letter a, b or c may kindly be inserted by the Private Secretary to His Highness in the last column to indicate whether—

File may be submitted at once ... ... a
File may be submitted after His Highness' return ... ... b
File may not be submitted at all c

WD 2105 -GPB—300—16-2-16

. R. S. 12]

21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 97 File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.

44.

Draft- Subd for approval.

[It is for Consideration if this order may be marked Confidential]

U. 28/3/16

18/3/16 F(Secy).

hg 28/3.

28/3

48 Some over- Put up a memorandum for guidance of Govt in regarding the last portion

hg 28/3.

Issue proceedings

Issued accordingly 14/4

49

Draft memorandum putup

28/3/16

19

To

The Heads of Departments
" Secretaries to Govts.

No 5078-127/G.F-172-13-dt 30.3.16

Directs that in all cases of purchase exceeding Rs 10,000/- in Value, the tenders received should in future be submitted to Government for orders

F(Secy) 5th. Draft official memorandum for information of Secty Branches is put up

hg. 15/4.

F(Secy)

28/4

Some at once

hg 24/4

Issue proceedings

Issued 25/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 172 of 1913.

Serial No.	
20	To the Secretaries to Govt No 7C5588-95 & 25 April 16 Observes that when tenders in cases of purchases exceeding Rs. 10,000 in value are received in the Secretariat concerned, they should be scrutinised by the Departmental Secretary & the Fin. Secretary under the instructions of the Member in Govt 16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 26]

2 cells

Diary No. of letter replied to (Stores) 1 —

C-F File No. 1729

Serial No. 13.

No. Z 5576-92

26 April 15

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 7-4 Aug 15

Signed by M Shanmugam

To

Sir, I am directed to state that in accordance with ~~provisions~~ para ~~of Rule~~ 15 of S.O. No. 2760-80 C-F 214-10-88 dated 23rd Dec 1913, laying down rules for making purchases on behalf of Govt, the Govt have, under their consideration, the question of appointing a committee for the Examining of tenders for the Supply of articles of the value of over Rs 10,000, required by Govt offices. Where it is accordingly proposed

over.

SUBJECT.

26/4

We have a Committee for the purpose ~~which~~ ~~will, for the present~~, consisting of two or three officers to be selected by ~~for~~ the Head of the Department concerned, ~~and 2 such officers~~, who will always be a co-opted member if he is not one of the regular members. The Committee will examine all the tenders & ~~make~~ a selection and ~~will~~ generally be governed ~~by~~ by the following rules.

~~The Committee and the Secretary~~ ~~Heads of Depts and will be~~ ~~guided by the following rules~~

1) The majority's opinion will be the opinion of the Committee & the Committee's decision will be final

2) All tenders involving an amount exceeding Rs 10,000 must be referred to the Committee and the No & Date of the Committee resolution under should be quoted on the bill

3) In case the Committee cannot arrive at a definite resolution the matter should be referred ~~to Government~~ to Govt for orders

4) The Committee's Office will be in the Financial Branch, Public Works & ~~Accounts~~ Electrical Branch and the Ex-officio Secretary.

2. The following rules are also proposed to be observed:

As your Department may ~~hereafter~~ come under the operation of these rules in view of the magnitude of some of your

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

purchases, I am directed
to request you to favour
her at an early date,
with your views ~~on the above proposals~~ on what is proposed above

Please do

H.S. 2/4/15 M 4/4

to Mr Auldjo

8/4/15

To 1) The Secretary to Govt
General Depts.
2) Rev. Commr in Mysore
3) Director of Industries & Commerce
4) Secretary to Govt, Sc. Cmtee
5) Excise Commr in Mysore
6) Stamp Commr — do
7) I G of Police in Mysore
8) I G of Edn — do.
9) Director of Agriculture
10) Senr Surgeon & Sanr Commr —
11) I G of Prisons
12) Supdt, Govt Printing
13) Chief Commandant
14) Supdt, Botanical Gardens. MGT.
15) ~~Pub~~ Chief Engr
16) ~~Rd~~ Retd
17) Chief El. Engr.

DIARY No. 4185

No. 3039

Encl.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE,
"RINGWOOD," HIGH GROUND,

Bangalore, 9th April 1915

10 - 10
SECTION G.F.I
FILE NO.
SERIAL NO. 172-13

FROM

ALFRED CHATTERTON, ESQ., F. C. G. I., C. I. E.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
Bangalore. (India).

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your Circular No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated the 6th April 1915, I have the honour to state that so far as my Department is concerned, I should strongly object to having to submit tenders for machinery to a Committee consisting of officers who cannot possibly have the special knowledge which will enable them to deal with such tenders in a satisfactory way. I also imagine that this will be the case with the officers of other Technical Departments. It may possibly be convenient to have a Committee consisting of technical officers to deal with the large contracts for non-technical Departments or Departments the Heads of which are not technically qualified men. But I am certain that in the case of Technical Departments the principle is unsound as it tends to lessen the responsibility of the Head of the Department. Another objection to the introduction of this Committee is that it tends to delay matters.

It is easy to imagine, for instance, that in connection with the Kannambadi Dam, machinery and plant costing more than Rs.10,000/- might be urgently required and it seems to me to be futile in the extreme to assume that the Chief Engineer and the Superintending Engineer in charge of the works could not be trusted to settle the contract and that it is necessary to appoint a Committee, that must necessarily consist of less experienced men to scrutinise their proposals.

proposals. Similarly, I hope to be able to shortly submit plans and estimates to Government for sanction for the purchase of machinery and plant in connection with the manufacture of Sandal Wood Oil and I should strongly object to my plans and proposals being in any way modified by such a Committee as is under consideration.

In my opinion, in connection with the Technical Departments of Government, I consider that such a Committee would be quite useless and would cause unnecessarily a lot of friction and delay.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Industries and Commerce.

GPB—4,000—13-5-14

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

4184

SECTION G.F.
FILE NO. 172-13.
30

Bangalore,
8th April 1915.

No. 5059.

9

FROM

LESLIE C. COLEMAN, ESQ., M.A., PH.D.,
DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department, B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: 5576-92/G.F.172-13 of the 6th April 1915, I have the honour to state that I consider the proposal decidedly unwise. It will certainly lead to delays ~~xxxx~~ which in the case of this Department may cause very serious hindrance to the work.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. C. Coleman

Director of Agriculture.

4246

GPB—1,500—14-7-14

C. C. O. 3]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS,
Dated the 17th April 1915.

No. 5577
Enc.

FROM Lt.Colonel.A.A.Jones I.A.

~~COLONEL J. DESARAJ URS, C.I.E., M.V.O.,~~

Offg *Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops,*

Bangalore.

To

The Financial Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated 6th April 1915, I have the honor to state that the proposals referred to are of very little use. If Government cannot trust the Head of a Department to look to the interests of Government such an Officer had better be got rid of and another whom Government can trust be put in the place. The committee can only select the lowest and best article, which selection the Head of the Department can do just as easily as the Committee, and probably better than with the assistance of the opinion of outsiders who very likely are ---- ignorant of the real requirements of the Department.

The existing rules on the subject are quite elaborate and will meet all cases.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Jones Lt.Col.

Offg.Chief Commandant,

Mysore State Troops.

Dept. No. 4199

10-10

No. 7574

Office of the Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 9th April 191

From

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Financial Secretary,
to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

. Adverting to your letter No. 5576-92/G.F. 172-13, dated the 6th Instant, anent the purchase of articles of the value of over Rs 10,000 on behalf of Government, I have the honor to state that the idea ---- appears to me to be a sound one and that it may be tried for some -- time and seen how it works in practice.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

9.4.915

for Excise Commissioner.

No. 664
57-60

OFFICE OF THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS
IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 10 April 1915.

FROM

Rao Bahadur T.V.Arumugum Mudaliar,Esquire,M.B.,C.M.,

Inspector-General of Prisons

in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

Dewan Bahadur
J.S.Chakraverti,Esquire,M.A.,F.R.A.S.,&c.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department , Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 5576-92 /G.F. 172-13 of the 6th instant, intimating to me that it is under consideration by Government to appoint a committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles valued over Rs 10,000 and forwarding,for my opinion,a set of rules proposed to be observed by the committee, I have the honor to inform you that I agree to the proposal for the appointment of the Committee and to the rules proposed to be observed by it.

2. Two Tenders in this Department would come within the operation of the proposed Committee and I should be glad to refer - them to it for selection.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Personal Assistant
For Inspector General of Prisons.
(Absent from Station)

DIARY No. 4202

11/W./14

HPB

10 -10

SECTION G-27

FILE No. 15-172-13

SERIAL No. 6

From

The Inspr.- Gen. of Prisons

in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Financial Secretary

to the Govt of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore

Dated 10.4.15

Despatched —do—

Received

General No. 664

No. 50-10

Enclosure No.

Giving views in the matter

of the Govt appointing a

Committee for the examn

of tenders for the Supply

of articles valued

over Rs 10000.

No. 1451

GPB—1,000—29-1-15

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVERNMENT PRESS,
Dated Bangalore, 13th April 1915.

FROM

C. H. YATES, Esq.,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS,
BANGALORE.

To

Diwan Bahadur
J. S. Chatterworth, Esq: M.A. 76 A
Financial Secretary to the
Government & the Govt.
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge
receipt of your letter No. 5576-92/
F Fl 172-13, dated 6th April, re the
proposal to form a Committee
for the examination of tenders
for the supply of articles of the
value of over Rs. 10,000, required
for Government Offices.

In reply thereto, I beg to say
that I have no objection to make
to the proposal, provided that the
institution

most Assistance of the Committee does not delay the passing of the tenders – a most important factor, so far as it concerns my Departments.

I note that the head of the Department concerned will always be a co-opted member of the Committee if he is not one of the regular members.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

W. Yates.

Superintendent

Government Press.

W.

DIARY No 4333

No. 625

Office of the Commissioner of Stamps
in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, 17th April 1915.

From

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Commissioner of Stamps in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

Dewan Bahadur
J. S. Chakravarti, Esqr., M.A., etc.,
Financial Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your No. 5576-92/ G. F. 172-13 dated the 14th instant, calling for my views on the question of appointing a committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of article of the value of over Rs 10,000/- required by Government offices, I have the honor to state that the idea is a good one and that it may be tried and seen how it works in practice.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
17.4.1915
for Commissioner of Stamps.

17/4/15.

4399
1914-1915
GPR—2,000—4-11-14
SECTION 98
No. 172-13
1914-15
9

Edn. 81]

From

RAO BAHADUR M. SHAMA RAO, ESQ., M.A.,
Inspector-General of Education
in Mysore.

To
The Finl. Secretary to Govt.

No. 17122/768

Dated 14th April 1915.

Received

No. of Enclosure.

SUBJECT.

Submitting the views of the Inspector General of Education on the question of appointing a Committee for the Examination of tenders for furnitur of and above the value of Rs.10,000.

Edn. 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

No. 17122 / 768

Bangalore, 14th April *191* 5.

From

RAO BAHADUR M. SHAMA, RAO, ESQ., M. A.,
Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.

To

Dewan Bahadur J.S. Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S., &c.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated the 6th instant regarding the appointment of a Committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs.10,000 required by Government Offices, I have the honour to state that I see no objection to the Committee proposed. I have to add, however, that if the majority of the Committee and the Head of the Department concerned do not agree the latter should have the right of explaining matters to Government and seeking their decision. Otherwise as an administrative officer he is likely to be placed under embarassment at times.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Shama Rao
Inspector General.

TNR.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTRICAL ENGINEER.

IN REPLY REFER TO No. 139 ENCLO.

Telegraphic Address: "GOMED."

CODES USED
LIEBER'S
A. B. C. (5TH EDITION)
BUSINESS TELEGRAPH CODE.

Bangalore, 17th April 1915
(Mysore. India.)

CIVIL SECRETARIAT 22 APR 1915 BANGALORE

CONTROLLER P.W.& RY. ACCTS. 21 APR 1915 MYSORE

The Financial Secretary to the Govt.
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

With reference to your No.5576-92/GF 172-13 dated the 6th of April 1915, proposing the appointment of a Committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs.10,000/-, I have the honour to inform you that I do not think such a Committee would work to the best advantage of Government for the following reasons:-

(1) Considerably more time would be required by the Com-
-mittee to examine the tenders and make a decision, than would be required by the purchasing officer, with consequent delay in securing the supply of the mate-
-rial.

(2) The suppliers, knowing that considerable time would elapse before decision is arrived at, would corres-
-pondingly raise their rates to cover any losses that might arise due to holding the articles in stock sub-
-ject to an order.

(3) It is a well-known fact that prices on the average are lower where the supplier is reasonably certain that quick movements of his stock would be made. Tenders in passing through this Committee would lose the ad-
-vantage of this lower rate.

(4) This Department requires that suppliers quote on arti-
-cles

which they already have in stock and prompt deli--veries are insisted on, and such prompt deliveries together with the lowest rate ensure that we secure the best article in the shortest time & at the lowest rate available in the market, and I am of the opinion that the action of the Committee would tend to very greatly counteract these advantages.

2. All tenders are kept for a period of years after the transaction, for examination at any time to determine if correct awards are made. This being the case, I would suggest that, in the case of purchase of supplies in excess of Rs.10,000/-, all tenders and correspondence etc. pertaining thereto be sent to a Committee after the transaction has taken place for deter--mining if the purchasing officer had acted in accordance with the best interests of Govt.

3. This Committee would then be a permanent Committee composed of non-purchasing officers, with powers to call on the purchasing officer for any further explanation that might be required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S.N.A.V.Aiyar

Offg.Chief Electrical Engineer,
to the Govt. of Mysore.

SGF-ARA

4498

R. C/4.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

Dated 28 April 1915.

Despatched

Received

General No. 13719

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Furnishing opinion on the proposed rules for the purchase of articles of the value of over Rs10,000/- on behalf of Government.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 28? 25th April 1915.

No. 13719

FROM

K. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
F i n a n c i a l *Department.*

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated 8th April 1915 requesting opinion on the proposed rules for the purchase of articles of the value of over Rs10,000/- on behalf of Government, I have the honor to state that they seem all right.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

H.V.
Mirle SLV.

GPB—1,500—17-12-14

Railway 2]

MYSORE STATE RAILWAYS,

No. 3195 CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 7th May 1915.

FROM

E. A. S. BELL, ESQ.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore,

for Railways, Bangalore.

To

Dewan Bahadur J. S. Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., F.F.A.S.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your Circular letter No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated the 6th April 1915, regarding the appointment of a Committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs.10,000/-, I beg to inform you that I am not at all in favour of the introduction of the measure contemplated, which I think will be a source of great friction and will cause delays in settling matters. Nearly all the requirements of this Department are technical and officers of other Departments are not in a positic to give a decision on such matters.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. A. S. Bell

Secretary for Railways,
Government of Mysore.

DIARY No. 4784

Tel: Address
At Bangalore & Mysore
"MYSECON."

No. 2601

MYSORE ECONOMIC CONFERENCE,
Dated Bangalore, 14th May 1915.

FROM

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Mysore Economic Conference,
Bangalore.

TO

Dewan Bahadur J.S.Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., &c.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 5576-93/G.F.172-14, dated 6th April 1915, regarding the question of appointing a Committee, for the examination of tenders for the supply of artticiles of the value of over Rs.10,000 required by Government Offices, I have the honour to state that the Conference Department does not generally come under the operation of the rules proposed, as it is not likely that articles of value of over Rs.10,000 will be purchased by the department.

As regards the rules for the committees, I think that those proposed are suitable except that it is not understood frmn the latter part of Rule (i) "The committee's decision will be final" whether the Sanction of Government is not necessary in such cases.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram Iyer
Secretary.

CLG.

From

The Senior Surgeon,

Mysore Govt., Bangalore.

To

The Secretary

to the Govt. of Mysore,

~~Financial~~ Deptt.,

Bangalore.

Dated 19-5-1915.

No. G. 497

Encls.

Subject.

Re. The examination by a Committee of the tenders for supplying articles costing over Rs. 10000/-.

4884

14 15-

No. G. 497
Encls.

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.

Dated, Bangalore, 19-5- 1915.

From

Rao Bahadur T. V. Arumugum Mudaliar, Esq., M. B., C. M.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Deptt., Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5576-92/G.F. 172-13, dated, 6-4-15, forwarding for my opinion, a copy of rules proposed to be observed by the Committee to be appointed for examining tenders for supply of articles costing over Rs.10,000/- required by Government Officers, I have the honor to state that I have no objection to the proposal and that the rules are also suitable.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T V Arumugam

Senior Surgeon.
19

No. 126

OFFICE OF THE ECONOMIC BOTANIST,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVT. GARDENS,
LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE.

Dated, 19th May. 1915.

DIARY NO 4967

1914-15
SECTION 97.
172-13
15

From

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL F.R.H.S.,
ECONOMIC BOTANIST,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVT. GARDENS.

To Dewan Bahaddur J.S. Chakravarti Esq., M.A., Etc.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.5576-92/G.F.172-14 dated 6th ultimo, asking for opinion on the question of appointing a committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs.10,000/- I have the honor to state that the proposal is good and there can be no objection.

2. It may be pointed out that the supplies made to this office are not of such magnitude as to come within the scope.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Economic Botanist,
Superintendent Govt. Gardens.

K.S.R.

DIARY NO. 5250

1914-15
SECTION G.F.
FILE NO. 172-13
SERIAL NO. 16

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: *Statewoks.*

No. 5850

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 12th June 1915.

From

KARPUR SHRINIVASRAO, ESQ., B.SC., L.C.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

J.S.Chakravarti, Esq: M.A., F.R.A.S., etc.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalor

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.5576-92/G.F.172-13-14 dated the 6th April 1915, about the proposal to appoint a Committee for examining tenders received for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs.10,000, I have the honor to state that in paragraph 1 of the Government Order, No.Fl. 2760-809/G.F.214-10-88 of 23rd December 1913, it is stated that whenever there is a conflict between these rules and those prescribed by or under the authority of Government on this subject for any particular Department (such as the Public Works Department) the special rules of the Department will be followed.

In the case of the Public Works Department, the P.W.D. Code, which is also followed in Mysore, defines the powers of the Superintending and the Chief Engineers regarding the purchase of articles and the acceptance of the tenders.

2. I am therefore of opinion that the proposal to constitute

GPB—5,000—16-1-15

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore.

To

Dated Bangalore

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Committees for considering the tenders for the supply of materials does not apply to this Department as surmised by you in the concluding portion of your letter under reply.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

DIARY 499

1915-16.

SECTION G-R

GPB—1,000—3-4-15

172913

G.R.S. 31]

No. Ce. 1412

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore, 2 8 *1915.*

FROM

D. M. NARASINGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Departments.

To

Dewan Bahadur
J.S.Chakravarti, Esq, M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.5576-92/G.F. 172-13-14 dated 6th April 1915 stating that in accordance with para 15 of Government Order No.Fl.2760-809/G.F.2 14-10-88 dated 23rd December 1913 Government have under consideration the question of appointing a Committee for the -- Examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of over Rs. 10,000 required by Government Offices and forwarding for opinion a set of rules proposed to be observed, I am directed to state that the rules proposed appear suitable and may be adopted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Secretary to Government.
General Department.

MK.

592

No. OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Encl. *Dated* Bangalore, 10th Aug. 1915.

15-16
SECTION G-1
7
18

From

Diwan Bahadur C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B.A., B.L.,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5576-92/G.F.172-13 dated 6th April 1915, I have the honor to state that I quite approve of the suggestion of appointing a Committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles valued over Rs.10,000 required by Government Officers. The only item required for the Police Department every year, valued over Rs.10,000 is Khaki Drill for which tenders are called for. It has been found by experience during these long years, that of all the firms, no other manufacturers could cope with these of Messrs. E. Spinner & Co, Bombay, sole agents for Leeman and Gatty's fast dyed English Khaki Drills. They are the only firms whose supplies have been found beyond question to be the best possible, the color, which is the most important factor in the uniform of a Policeman being fast and the cloth also durable, standing all the wear and tear during all seasons of the year.

I have the honor to be, sir
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

2/7 29/3

Confidential

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 172-13.

Serial No. ~~17~~ 19

Diary No. of letter replied to 592

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(97)

, *the* 191 .

READ ~~again~~ ~~Cir~~

Date of issue 30-4-16

Signed by W Rhamadevaiya

Circular letter no. ~~7~~ 5576-92 dy 6-4-1915 for the views of 97172-13 Calling on the Heads of Depts to favour Govt with their views in regard to the appointing of a Committee for the examination of tenders for the supply of articles of the value of Rs 10000 and above required by Govt departments as contemplated in para 15 of Go no. 7e 2760-89 dy 23-12-1913.

ORDER THEREON. No.

over.

SUBJECT.

60.
24

Read

Replies from the Heads of Depts.

Order thereon No R 5078-127 & 30 March/16
GT 172-13-19

On a careful consideration of the question the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja are of opinion that it is not desirable to appoint a special Committee for the purpose as such a course would involve delay in the disposal of tenders. They however consider that ~~it would serve the purpose equally well if~~ tenders for large purchases should be scrutinised and sanctioned by Govt. ~~They~~ the Govt are accordingly pleased to direct ~~they~~ that in all cases of ~~all tenders for~~ purchases exceeding Rs 10,000 in value the tenders received should be submitted to Govt for sanction in future. ~~and~~ should be examined in the Secretariat by the Departmental and the Financial Secretaries under the instruction of the Member of Govt concerned by the Head of the Department concerned with a note embodying his own definite recommendations.

This is for guidance of the Secretariat & need not go out as a formal order.

MS
28/3

MS
28/3
Financial Secretary

To Heads of Depts.
The Secretaries to Govt
Copies to Bs. I – VIII

29/3/16

Mrs.

15 Papers

G.R.S. 341

24/4

No. H 5588-95

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(172-13-20.

Official

~~Office~~ **Memorandum.**

To

the 25 April 1916.

G.O. H 5078-127/G7 172-13-19. dated 30th March 1916 directs the submission to Government Tenders received in cases of purchases exceeding Rs 10,000. in value ~~and~~ When such tenders are received in the Secretariat Concerned, they should be subjected to the scrutiny of the Departmental Secretary and the Financial Secretary under the instructions of the members of Govt Concerned. References regarding tenders should be regarded as immediate in all stages in the Secretariat and their disposal expedited.

By order.

H Secretary.

To The Secretaries to Govt.
Copy to all Branches.

GPB—10,000—13-8-10

574.